



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/661,273	09/13/2000	Bradley Cain	2204/A50 8:		
2101	7590 10/05/2004		EXAMINER		
	G & SUNSTEIN LLP	NGUYEN, THU HA T			
125 SUMME BOSTON, M	R STREET (A 02110-1618		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2155	2155	

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	C
Advisory Action	09/661,273	CAIN ET AL.	V
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thu Ha T. Nguyen	2155	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED on August 17, 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application	a in .
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CF	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See R 1.136(a) and the appropriat	MPEP
fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	originally set in the final Office	e action; or
<ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI</li> </ol>			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) \( \square\) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	pelow);		
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplif	ying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed ame	ndment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT pla	ace the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were ne	wly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-55</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		
10. Other:		_	
<del></del>	`	Men	
	HO	SAIN ALAM IY PATENT EXAMINER	

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicants' amendment changed the scope of the claims by adding limitations such as "...where each television channel is carried over a different multicast group, and subscribers join a particular multicast group in order to receive a particular channel...whereby the access device receives the access control information before it is needed for determining whether the host device is authorized to join the multicast group, thereby facilitating changing channels." See claims 1, 15, 25, 35, 45, and 55. Therefore, further consideration and/or search is required.